

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ABRAHAM ITUAH,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, *et al.*

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 16-05772

ORDER

AND NOW, this 15th day of May, 2017, upon consideration of Defendants' motions to dismiss, (ECF Nos. 6 & 12), and Plaintiff Ituah's responses, (ECF Nos. 15 & 19), it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Defendants' motions to dismiss, (ECF Nos. 6 & 12), are **GRANTED**;
2. Ituah's claims are **DISMISSED**⁴;
3. This case shall be **CLOSED** for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gerald J. Pappert
GERALD J. PAPPERT, J.

⁴ To the extent Ituah's claims are barred by *Rooker-Feldman*, the Court dismisses them without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. However, the Court dismisses with prejudice those claims that do not implicate *Rooker-Feldman* but are nonetheless barred by *res judicata*.